

EPPING FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MINUTES

Committee:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Date:	Thursday, 9 July 2009
Place:	Council Chamber, Civic Offices, High Street, Epping	Time:	7.30 - 9.15 pm
Members Present:	Councillors R Morgan (Chairman) K Angold-Stephens (Vice-Chairman) M Colling, Mrs A Grigg, Mrs A Haigh, D Jacobs, J Knapman, G Mohindra, Mrs L Wagland and Philip		
Other Councillors:	Councillors Mrs D Collins, Mrs M Sartin, D Stallan, C Whitbread, J M Whitehouse, D Wixley and A Boyce		
Apologies:	Councillors A Green and R Law		
Officers Present:	D Macnab (Deputy Chief Executive), J Gilbert (Director of Environment and Street Scene), S G Hill (Senior Democratic Services Officer), K Durrani (Assistant Director Technical Services), S Mitchell (PR Website Editor), A Hendry (Democratic Services Officer) and M Jenkins (Democratic Services Assistant)		
By Invitation:	Ms L VanClay (Essex County Council) and N Varnam (Essex County Council)		

11. WEBCASTING INTRODUCTION

The Chairman reminded everyone present that the meeting would be broadcast live to the Internet, and that the Council had adopted a protocol for the webcasting of its meetings.

12. SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

It was noted that Councillor J Philip had substituted for Councillor A Green.

13. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest made pursuant to the Council's Code of Member Conduct.

14. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 2 June 2009 be taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

15. PROVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES WITHIN THE DISTRICT

The Chairman welcomed Lonica Vanclay, the County Officer responsible for the commissioning side of youth provisioning. She was accompanied by Nigel Varnam,

who was now responsible the co-ordination of youth provision in the district area; trying to ensure the provision has spread out across the district. A background paper was tabled for information and this is attached to these minutes.

It was noted that range of the provisions needed to be publicised across the district. Schools were actively involved in working together and collaboration between the schools and county had improved over the last year.

Mr Varnam said he was managing integrated use of services such as the princes trust team. He was also working with the district youth council. Across Essex there were two mobiles services visiting a number of areas within the district. The County had advisors working at all secondary schools. They also had small teams of targeted work advisors who also work closely with the police and offenders.

Councillor Jacobs asked why, of the four key indicators used in the Youth Service District Hotspot Analysis on of the areas listed, was Buckhurst Hill West. Did they not mean Buckhurst Hill East? Mr Varnam replied that might well be east, he would check.

Councillor Mrs Haigh said if it was Buckhurst Hill East, then the only facility they had was an acting group, which was not a county provision. She felt they were not addressing their needs, as a vast majority of activity was provided by outside organisations. Ms Vanclay said that they provided more of a commissioning approach and were concentrating efforts in Limes Farm, Ongar and Loughton.

Councillor Mrs Sartin asked why there were more contacts last year (2008/09) than in the coming year. Ms Vanclay said they did very well last year; the targets were based on the proportion of young people that ECC provided services to. The mobile has a high target and the u-project was more intensive with a low amount of people.

Councillor Jon Whitehouse commented that is was important that the voluntary sector should have support and advice from County. What was available? Ms Vanclay replied that they had full time lead youth workers in the task teams who played an important role in the activities and provided support. They were also developing links to the Children's and Young People's Strategic Partnership. The committee noted that there were no requirements to set up youth clubs, but they were developing guidelines for people to set up their own. They would also offer advice and training. There were also strong links between youth activities and a reduction in anti-social behaviour and they were looking to reduce the number of young people going through the youth justice system.

Councillor Mohindra asked why wards with greatest needs were identified as being in Waltham Abbey and not in Limes Farm. Ms Vanclay said that in their planning for areas they had Waltham Abbey and Limes Farm on an equal provision of activities.

Councillor Knapman asked if the information in the tables provided to the Committee were combined with the District and the County Council. Ms Vanclay said that liked to build up comprehensive listings as not everything was done by the County Council, but they had just begun this process and if councillors knew of other things to be added then they should let them know. Mr Varnam added that it did not show a lot of the uniformed organisations or the youth football teams.

Councillor Knapman wondered if they were getting the balance right such as the activities at the Murray Centre (not the Loughton Youth Centre). Ms Vanclay said that there were finite resources and there was the challenge in securing the staffing resources for these places.

Councillor Philip asked how they let people know about their activities, especially the Parish and Town Councils. Mr Varnam said that their colleagues were in contact with the local councils and would also send out flyers. They tried to involve the local councils although they were not as good in liaising with us.

The Chairman thanked Ms Vanclay and Mr Varnam for their time and effort in coming to talk to the committee that evening and he looked forward to them coming back to some future meeting.

16. SAFER CLEANER GREENER STRATEGY

The Director of Environment and Street Scene, Mr Gilbert, introduced the Safer Cleaner Greener Strategy document. The 'Safer Cleaner Greener' (SCG) initiative was adopted by Cabinet in November 2007, which, alongside the corporate restructure, resulted in the formation of the Environment and Street Scene Directorate. As part of this, a new Environmental Response Unit was formed and an enlarged Safer Communities Unit was established. The Audit Commission undertook an inspection of the Council's waste services in April 2008. One of their recommendations was that there should be some formal documentation and associated action plan to demonstrate intent. This need for a formal strategy was formally recognised.

The resulting strategy had initially gone to the Safer Cleaner Greener Standing Panel who had agreed it with some minor amendments. He noted that the strategy still had some typing errors and that some of the abbreviations had to be set out in full.

The committee noted that it contained useful and clear overview of what the SCG strategy was all about, it also included an information and action plan. The Committee noted that the action plan was still work in progress and needed some more work. If the Committee endorsed the strategy it would then go to the Cabinet in September, for their formal adoption.

Councillor Angold-Stephens commented under 'safer' on page 8, officers should add a reference to 'diversionary strategies'. Mr Gilbert was happy to do so.

Councillor Knapman said officers were to be congratulated on the document. It contained a lot of common sense. But he was not keen on the recommendation to just 'receive the strategy'. They were not there just to 'rubber stamp' the work brought to them.

Councillor Jon Whitehouse commented that most of the 'strategy action plan' section of the document would have been implemented by the time it went to the Cabinet in September. It would also be useful to have telephone numbers listed and to see the targets; but it did not say in what circumstances they would be implemented. Mr Gilbert said it was an overarching document; and that officers had struggled to identify what to include and what to exclude. However, he accepted that they needed to make that page more straightforward, perhaps take a different approach.

Councillor Mrs Grigg asked that the size of the font be made larger to make it more easily readable. She also asked about dog fouling, what if the parish council would not undertake a fouling system, would the District continue to enforce it. Mr Gilbert replied that the Council would continue to do what it did now; but, they would also help parish councils to make their own rules for their local area. They would also use a larger font for the strategy document.

Councillor Philip noted that the council had already adopted a climate change strategy.

RESOLVED:

That the Committee endorsed the Safer Cleaner Greener Strategy subject to the comments raised and recommended it to the Cabinet.

17. ENFORCEMENT POLICY

The Director of Environment and Street Scene, Mr Gilbert, introduced the Environment and Street Scene Directorate's updated Enforcement Policy document.

Councillor Mrs Wagland commented that there was a 'not' missing under the paragraphs on 'acceptable behaviour'. Also that the first half of the document was written in the impersonal while the second half referred to people as 'you'. It should be sorted out who the document was being aimed at. She thought it was better in the third person. Councillor Mrs Wagland also undertook to review the document and provide some guidance to officers on the presentation of its content.

RESOLVED:

That the Committee endorsed the updated version of the Environment and Street Scene Directorate Enforcement Policy subject to any revisions put forward by Councillors.

18. FLOOD AND WATER MANAGEMENT BILL

The Assistant Director of Environment and Street Scene (Technical), Kim Durrani, introduced the report on the draft Flood and Water Management Bill. He gave the Committee a short presentation on the background of this item. The Government were asking for comments on this draft which emerged from the recent Pitt Review on flooding and made 92 recommendations. The Flood and Water Management Bill was the government's response to this review and they are now circulating this to councils as a draft for their comments. Mr Durrani tabled the draft Flood and Water Management Bill with the councils draft responses for the information.

The Committee noted the following key issues in the Bill:

- The Environment agency to manage all inland flood risks;
- Local Authority (Tier 1 – counties and unitaries) to adopt leadership role in flood risk;
- Local Authority (Tier 1) to provide scrutiny role in flood risk;
- Prior to flooding:
 - Better knowledge of drainage infrastructure/mapping system
 - Databases/surface water management plans
 - Flood plan/emergency response plan, drainage policy
- During flooding:
 - Local Authority take lead role in local flooding, share information, emergency response, demountable defences etc
- After flooding: support and response, data collection, review.
- All funding Control will rest with the Environmental Agency;
- In our case Essex County Council will be the Local Authority;
- It was unclear how the Local Authority would provide the scrutiny role;
- Not enough emphasis on forming local agreements and frameworks with Districts that have local knowledge.

The draft Bill had 188 questions in total, 163 of them for England; the submission date was 24 July 2009. Officers asked Overview and Scrutiny to agree that officers prepare a response that seeks the most environmentally and economically favourable outcome for the residents of the district.

Councillor Jacobs said his experience of the Environment agency was not so good as they were spread thinly around the country. Are we confident that they could carry out the job properly; and what about finances? Mr Durrani replied that they were well funded and had adequate resources to deal with flooding. Officers were not clear on finances, they know it would be split but were unsure how it would be spread out. Currently they have to make bids to DeFRA. They would put this query in the return.

Councillor Jacobs then commented that as scrutiny would be done by the County Council, how could the District affect this? Mr Durrani said they were currently in discussions with other authorities. The Bill said there should be stronger frameworks and co-operation agreements and officers would ask for this in their return. There was also an element of local responsibility.

Councillor Mrs Haigh said that when she was on the County Council about five years ago they were looking at flood relief then. A lot of money went to the North of the County instead of the eastern seaboard. She felt it was important that the District had a presence with the County. Mr Durrani said it was a valid point. The oversight role of the Environment Agency was to even out planning and resourcing for the country as a whole and would enforce joined up working.

Councillor Bassett commented that there was concern about all water courses and drains etc; there was some confusion on who maintained them. Does the Bill clear this up? Also, British Waterways, would they get involved, as there was a lot of confusion about who was responsible for what. Mr Durrani said that Landowners who live adjacent to a watercourse were responsible. Main rivers were the Environment Agency's responsibility. The District has powers on land drainage. They are trying to involve water companies as there were issues for the utilities. There will be a change in legislation to make people aware of their responsibilities.

Councillor Angold-Stephens was concerned that whatever we do we would need a rapid response team of our own. The Environmental Agency can be slow to respond. Mr Durrani replied that the District currently has its own teams in place, which it had funded and set up. Emergency response was a District function and if the County Council was set up as a Tier 1 authority, it would probably be given back. Councillor Knapman asked that the point be made officially that we would like our own rapid response unit. Mr Durrani said we could recommend that we have a stronger agreement framework with the Tier 1 authority.

Councillor Mrs Wagland was surprised that we could not mention things in detail; we have a good example, of a rapid response unit, that we should put down in the consulting document. Mr Durrani said they could do so.

Councillor Jacobs said that a lot of drainage ditches run parallel to the A414, who was responsible for these. Mr Durrani said that it was the landowners adjoining the ditches and the highways authority (i.e. Essex County Council).

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the local knowledge and expertise that exists within the Council be used to make a comprehensive response to the consultation and where possible seek the best outcome for the residents of the District.

- (2) That the newly formed Pitt Review Task and Finish Panel look into the impact of this Bill on the Council.

19. HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

The Committee noted that there had been some changes to the way GP care was being provided in Waltham Abbey following the sudden retirement of one of the local GPs.

Following this a request came in from the ECC Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee to appoint a District representative to a working group of the West Area Forum set up to scrutinise the impact of changes and the provision of additional capacity to meet local needs and would like the forum to make recommendations which could help develop protocols to ensure that similar situations were handled better in the future.

RESOLVED:

That the Committee recommend to Council that Councillor Mrs Gadsby be appointed as a representative to attend future meetings of the working group of the West Area Forum.

20. CHANGE IN LEISURE PORTFOLIO HOLDER

Councillor Mrs Collins informed the Committee of Councillor Mrs Harding's resignation from the Cabinet with immediate effect. The portfolio had been offered to Councillor B Rolfe who had accepted.

The Committee noted that Councillor Rolfe would now have to relinquish his membership and chairmanship of the Safer Cleaner Greener Standing Panel.

21. WORK PROGRAMME MONITORING

The Senior Democratic Services officer, Simon Hill, took the Committee through their work programme. The Committee noted that:

Item 6 – '*West Essex PCT – proposal for a Joint Scrutiny Review*'. Noted that under a previous item on the agenda how the County was now dealing with health scrutiny, that forums will get a greater role on health matters, it may that the Committee may not wish to pursue this item any longer.

Item 14 – '*Presentation from the Fire and Rescue Services*'. Noted that it had now moved to the September meeting.

Item 15 – '*Debt Management Review*'. Noted that it was now due to go to the September meeting.

Future Work Programme:

1. Councillor Mrs Wagland asked if a topic could be added on 'Health inequalities' our figures seemed to be adrift on hip fractures for over 65's and we need to know why that was. The Deputy Chief Executive said that they had previously had Alison Cowie (Director of Public Health for the West Essex PCT) to talk about health inequalities. There was now an LSP sub-group looking at health

matters in the district. We could ask them to come to this meeting to talk about hip fractures.

AGREED: To speak to colleagues at the PCT to get some information and see if they could address a future meeting.

2. Councillor Knapman said that the Deputy Portfolio Holder for Education and the Olympics was from our district. Could the Committee look at secondary and primary provision and standards in our District as it would be relatively easy to get someone here to answer our questions. Councillor Mrs Haigh added that the Audit Commission would like to focus on education and deprivation in the District. The Deputy Chief Executive said they could put the two items together and ask the appropriate Portfolio Holder to come and address the committee.

AGREED: To ask the appropriate Portfolio Holder to attend a future meeting of the committee to address primary and secondary school provision and standards in the district and the link between education and deprivation.

3. Councillor Mrs Haigh said that if London Underground was to come in September, she would like the committee to have a pre-meeting to identify what they wanted to ask. This was agreed.

AGREED: to hold a pre-meeting before the London Underground presentation in September.

Standing Panels:

Councillor Jacobs then updated the Committee on the topics raised and discussed at the last Finance and Performance Standing Panel meeting.

22. CABINET REVIEW

Councillor Knapman wanted to comment on the proposal going to the Cabinet on rent deferment for shops in the Broadway. He commented that he understood the difficulties presently upon traders and the council but understood that whilst this scheme may not be approved other support had been made available to those tenants most in need.

The Committee agreed with this sentiment and wished it passed onto the Cabinet at their next meeting.

CHAIRMAN

This page is intentionally left blank

Epping Forest District

Youth Provision 2009/2010

Area Needs Analysis 2009/10

Epping Forest

Epping Forest District, covering 130 square miles, is considered an attractive, predominantly commuter area of some 122,000 people on the north eastern edge of London. Almost half (45%) of the population live in the suburban areas of Loughton, Buckhurst Hill and Chigwell. A further 30% are in the market towns of Epping, Ongar and Waltham Abbey. The remaining 25% live in the surrounding rural areas including such large villages as Roydon, Nazeing, North Weald and Theydon Bois.

The 13 – 19 target youth population is approximately 10662, of which 5387 (50.53%) are male and 5275 (49.47%) are female.

Epping Forest has an active Youth Council, composed of a group of seventeen young people aged 13- 19 living in Epping Forest. The Epping Forest Youth Council were elected as local school representatives and young community leaders in December 2007 to sit on the Youth Council for two years, in order to make sure Young People have a voice, regarding important, local issues

There are four 11-16 maintained Secondary Schools in the Epping Forest District, Debden Park High School, King Harold School, Roding Valley High School and St John's Church of England (Voluntary Controlled) School. Two 11-18 maintained Secondary Schools Davenant Foundation School and West Hatch High School also have sixth form provision. In addition, there is one Further Education establishment, Epping Forest College which is currently offering a range of full-time courses for young people from entry level vocational courses to Advanced level course provision. A large proportion of young people continue in education in Epping Forest. The annual Activity Survey records a year on year increase in the percentage of young people who choose to remain in post compulsory education, culminating in 87.28% of Year 11 remaining in education in September 2008.

Consequently, the number of young people participating in employment and training is statistically far less significant. Epping Forest has just one E2E provider, Essex Training in Waltham Abbey. They are presently offering training courses for young people in Multi-Skills Construction and Hair & Beauty. Unfortunately, the economic recession has led to a reduction in the number of opportunities in the local labour market, with current active live vacancies for young people restricted to Hairdressing and Beauty. Therefore,

young training and job seekers have to be prepared to travel out of the local area if they wish to pursue opportunities in other occupational areas.

The District is served by a network of Town and Parish Councils and characterised by contrasts. Some wards are very affluent but contain pockets of deprivation, which isolates them from the surrounding communities, e.g. Limes Farm in Chigwell, Shelley in Ongar, Debden and Fairmead in Loughton. These areas are highlighted in the ODPM Indices of Deprivation as areas with a number of social problems including low incomes, unemployment and lack of educational attainment.

An examination of the Index of Multiple Deprivation, (IMD) a combined measure including income, employment, health, education, housing, crime and the environment, shows Grange Hill or more accurately Limes Farm is the most deprived area within the District while Theydon Bois is the least deprived. Other areas that show high levels of deprivation within the District include areas of Waltham Abbey, such as Paternoster, and areas of Loughton, such as Fairmead and Oakwood Hill. In Epping Forest there are no areas (known as Super Output Areas or SOAs) that fall into the IMD Index of the most deprived 20% nationally, while there are 25 SOAs (out of a total of 78) in the least deprived nationally category.

Of the four chosen key indicators used to in the Youth Service District Hotspot Analysis, the conception rate for under 18s, the NEET rate, GCSE performance and Deprivation Index, the Youth Service Hotspots in Epping Forest are:-

- Loughton Alderton
- Loughton Broadway
- Waltham Abbey Paternoster
- Grange Hill
- Shelley
- Buckhurst Hill West

Current Centre provision is generally well located to cover these areas with Youth Centre provision focussed at the Box in Epping, Loughton Youth Centre, Limes Farm Youth Centre, Ongar Youth Centre and WAY 2000 in Waltham Abbey.

The wards with greatest need in each of the Hotspot categories within the Epping Forest District have been identified as-

- Under 18 Conception Rate – Shelley
- NEET Rate - Loughton Alderton
- 5+ A*-C GCSE Rate - Lower Sheering
- Index of Multiple Deprivation – Waltham Abbey Paternoster

One of the major issues for young people in Epping Forest is transportation. There is a perceived lack of public transport and even large urban areas like Waltham Abbey have poor public transport links with the rest of the District.

Therefore, Waltham Abbey has been selected as a focussed area of work for the ECC mobile service. The revised Youth Bus Schedule now incorporates a weekly evening visit to Hill House and the surrounding area as well as lunchtime visits to King Harold School in Waltham Abbey. Equally, outlying areas that are currently not well served by Centre provision, like Sheering, Roydon and Theydon Bois will be regular destinations on the ECC Youth Bus Schedule.

Youth Work Performance Targets 2009/2010

Definitions

- **Contact** is often a one off engagement with a young person.
- **Participants** where a young person takes part on a regular or sustained period of time and completes a registration card.
- **Recorded outcomes** this is when a young person has made or recognised some informal learning or gained a skill or knowledge. Often evidenced by local certificates.
- **Accredited Outcomes** this is a recognised formal certificate or course, which evidences a young person's achievement. This is normally a nationally recognised body such as Open College Network, Duke of Edinburgh, Trident etc,

Unit of Provision	09/10 Target Contact	09/10 Target Participants	09/10 Target Recorded Outcomes	09/10 Target Accredited Outcomes	08/09 Actual Contact	08/09 Actual Participants	08/09 Actual Recorded Outcomes	08/09 Actual Accredited Outcomes
Epping Forest								
Epping Mobile	250	145	40	15	308	161	80	47
U-Project	15	12	12	10				
Youth Action	40	30	30	25				
Epping Forest South TASC	470	470	279	105	751	657	640	107
Epping Forest Rural TASC	240	240	120	120	192	167	79	39

EPPING FOREST DISTRICT YOUTH PROVISION

(As provided by ECC Integrated Youth and TASC teams and by voluntary organisations, some of which receive some CYPs funding. We are aiming for a comprehensive list so if you are aware of any others please do let Perryn Jasper know.)

Day and Session	Name of Unit	Session Descriptor	Start/finish time	Age Range
Monday evening	Mobile	Open session for young people to access activities, information and support. Roydon/Sheering	7.00 to 9.30	13 to 19
Tuesday lunchtime	Mobile	Drop-in session Debden Park School		
Tuesday evening	Mobile	Oakwood Hill Debden	7.00 to 9.30	13 to 19
Wednesday evening	Mobile	Theydon/ Epping Town	7.00 to 9.30	13 to 19
Thursday evening	Mobile	Waltham Abbey	7.00 to 9.30	13 to 19
Friday lunchtime	Mobile	Drop-in lunchtime session King Harold/St.John's schools	1pm-2pm	
Youth Bank	Youth action	Young people involved in distribution and awarding Youth Opportunities Fund	Various including some Saturdays	19-19
Young Essex Assembly and Epping Forest Youth Council	Youth Action	Young people's engagement and consultation	Weekly at various locations	
Connexions Drop-in Session Wednesday evenings	Information and Advice	Young people able to access IAG in an informal setting	The Box Epping 5.30-7.30pm	
Epping Forest U-Project	Residential for year 11	Pre-residential sessions	Danbury Outdoors	Year 11

	pupils at risk of dis-engagement from Learning or training	held at the Box Residential week during summer holidays.	Centre	
--	--	--	--------	--

Day and Session	Name of Unit	Session Descriptor	Start/finish time	Age Range
Monday daytime	The Box	Alternative education programme	09:30 – 15:00	15 to 16
	Loughton Youth Centre	Sexual Health Nurse	15:00 – 16:00	13 to 19
Monday evening	Duke of Edinburgh's Award (Temporally revised due to staff shortage)	Personal development structured programme	6.30pm to 9pm	14 to 25
	The Box	Various workshops	16:00 – 18:00	14 to 18
	The Dance Factory	Street Dance Classes	16:00 – 18:15	5 to 15
	Loughton Amateur Dramatic Society	Produce plays of dramatic worth	20:00 – 22:30	16 to 19
	Ongar Youth Centre	To encourage young people to engage in sports, fitness and health and nutrition	19:00 – 21:30	13 to 19
	New Images Youth Centre (Way 2000)	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to 19
Tuesday evening	The Box	Social space, information and advice	15:30 – 18:00	11 to 18
	The Box	Counselling service	16:00 – 18:00	11 to 16
	Loughton Youth Centre	Project work	6.30pm to 9.30pm	13 to 19

	Roding Valley High School	Stamp Club	19:30	11 to 16
	Ongar Youth Centre	An open centre night	19:00 – 21:30	13 to 19
	St Mary's church hall, Theydon Bois	Youth Club	19:00 – 21:00	11 – 14
	Limes Farm Youth Centre	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to 19
	New Images Youth Centre (WAY2000)	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to 19
Wednesday daytime	Emotional Health and wellbeing	Programme for young people	1.30 to 3.00	13 to 19
	The Box	Information, advice and welfare service	10:00 – 13:00	16 to 19
	The Box	“What next” Careers workshop	11:00 – 13:00	16 to 19
	Loughton Youth Centre	Sexual Health Nurse	12:30 – 13:30	13 to 19
Wednesday evening	Limes Farm Youth Centre	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to 19
	The Box	Connexions drop-in	15:30 – 18:00	13 to 19
	St Mary's, 201 High Road, Loughton	Ignite	15:30 – 20:00	11 to 16
	The Box	Social space, information and advice	16:00– 18:00	11 to 18
	Ninefields Community Hall	Abbey Youth Club	18:30 – 20:00	11 to 19
	Lopping Hall	Loughton Camera Club	20:00 – 22:00	16 to 19
	Ongar Youth Centre	Youth Club	19:00 – 21:00	13 to 19

	New Images Youth Centre (WAY2000)	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to19
Thursday Daytime	Loughton Youth Centre	Sexual Health Nurse	12:30 – 13:30	13 to 19
	The Box	Information, advice and welfare service	10:00 – 13:00	16 to 19
Thursday Evening	Loughton Youth Centre	Open access youth centre	6.30pm to 9.30pm	13 to 19
	The Box	counselling service	15:00 – 18:00	11 to 16
	The Box	Social space, information and advice	16:00 – 18:00	11 to 18
	Roding Valley High	Loughton Youth Theatre	19:00 – 21:00	11 to 18
	Ongar Youth Centre	Special Needs Youth Club (Youth Plus)	19:00 – 21:30	14 to 25
	New Images Youth Centre (WAY2000)	Open access youth centre	7pm to 10pm	13 to19
Friday Daytime	The Box	Alternative education programme	09:30 – 15:00	15 to 16
	Loughton Youth Centre	Sexual Health Nurse	15:00 – 16:00	13 to 19
Friday Evening	Ongar Youth Centre	Youth Club	19:00 – 21:30	13 to 19
	Loughton Methodist Church (SKILLZ)	Dance Group	16:00 – 18:00	8 to 13
	St Mary's, 201 High Road, Loughton	Ignite	19:00 – 21:00	11 to 16
	The Box	Social space	19:00 – 21:00	11 to 18
Saturday Daytime	United Reformed Church Hall, Buckhurst Hill	LA 2000 Theatre School - Speech and Drama	10:30 – 12:30	6 to 17

	Loughton Leisure Centre	The WingTsun Martial Arts School	11.30 - 12.30	7+
	Oakview School	Sportability	10:00 – 14:00	6 to 16
Weekends and whole weeks, special projects	Loughton Youth Project (LYP) A safe and desirable social space for all groups of young people in Loughton and Debden, used in conjunction with targeted partner agencies during the daytime, hosts regular, after school programmes of new media broadcasting activities for young people. Parent agency Essex Association of Boys and Girls Clubs.			
	Youth Action A dedicated team of youth workers across the county supporting and encouraging young people and adults in each locality to create opportunities where young people can be heard and taken seriously. This may start with expressing views through writing for a magazine or newsletter, producing plays, music or films. It can include being part of a committee, school council or youth forum. County-wide projects include UK Youth Parliament, Young Essex Assembly and Essex Young People's Forum website. Young people in Essex help adults to make the right decisions about services including helping with staff selection and conferences.			
	Alternative Education Year 11 Scheme Based at the The Box this year long scheme works with 15 young people who no longer attend mainstream education for a variety of reasons. The five day scheme runs over the academic year involves two days per week personal and social education, including team-work, problem solving ,listening skills healthy life styles etc. Two days work experience and one day formal education, which has a number of vocational options. Its overall aim is to re-engage young people with learning and help identify either future training or employment.			

	<p>Prince's Trust Team Programme This twelve week programme is mainly aimed at young people aged 16-25 who are unemployed or between jobs or uncertain of their direction. A programme that develops team working, volunteering and some basic life skills. Young people take part in a wide range of activities including a residential. They also identify local community groups and raise funds to support a chosen project or activity. The programme is currently delivered at the Grove Youth Centre replacement premises urgently needed.</p>
	<p>Reality Road Show To provide workshops on a variety of topics such as road safety, sexual health drugs and alcohol to educate young people; year 9</p>
	<p>Prison! No Way! The Project aims to reflect on a variety of different aspects of crime starting with what constitutes crime. Look at the role of the police service and how procedures at the station are addressed. Raised awareness and understanding of consequences around offending behaviour, criminal behaviour The 10 week programme aims to give enough information to make informed decisions resulting in the development of personal and social responsibility. The programmes are generally aimed at young people thought to be at risk of becoming involved in the criminal justice system. Prison! No Way! is an educational programme led by the youth service in partnership with HM Prison Service, Essex Police, youth offending teams and Magistrates.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank